Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/563,689	BAVING ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JAMES M. HEWITT	3679
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) JAMES M. HEWITT.	(3)	
(2) Bruce Hamburg.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 13 July 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica	nt's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 112 2 nd paragraph rejections of claims 10 and 17		
Claims discussed: 10 and 17		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Hamburg agreed to amend the claims as reflected in the accomplanying Examiner's Amendment in order to overcome the noticed 112.2° paragraph rejectors and place the case in condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
	F ## F # P	
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive oignature – 11 appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)